

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/416,715	10/13/1999	MANFRED LEMBKE	10191/1201 6509	
- 26646 7	7590 02/13/2004		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON ONE BROADWAY			ZACHARIA, RAMSEY E	
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1773	
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Δ
Advisory Action	09/416,715	LEMBKE ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ramsey Zacharia	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPR Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl th places the applica	ition in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for replying later than three months after the ma	ng date of the final rejecting the FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The approperation of the fee.	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) $igtimes$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	•		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	•	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-6,8-10 and 12-17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by t	the Examiner.	1
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:			
	•	Ramsey Zaci	

Tech Center 1700

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Deleting a member of the Markush group of claim 1 creates an embodiment not presented during prosecution that would require further search and consideration. As such, the proposed amendment is not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal because it does not materially reduce or simplify the issues.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is directed to limitations in the proposed amendment that will not be entered.